Effect of adjuvants tank-mixed with clethodim and lactofen on weed control in soybeans at Lamberton, <u>MN in 2001.</u> Getting, Jodie K., and Bruce D. Potter. The objective of this study was to evaluate adjuvants tank-mixed with clethodim and lactofen for annual grass and annual broadleaf weed control in glyphosateresistant soybeans. This study was conducted on a Normania loam soil containing 4.4% organic matter, pH 6.2 and soil test P and K levels of 60 and 422 lb/A, respectively. A randomized complete block design with four replications and a plot size of 10 by 30 ft was used. The site was planted to oats in 2000 and was fall chiseled. On May 29, 2001 Pioneer '92BO5' glyphosate-resistant soybeans were planted in 30-inch rows at a seeding rate of 160,000 seeds/A. All treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer delivering 20 gpa at a pressure of 40 psi. The sprayer was equipped with 8002 flat-fan nozzles spaced 15 inches apart on the boom. Application dates, environmental conditions, plant sizes and rainfall data are listed below:

Date	June 21
	POSTI
Temperature (F)	
air	66
soil (4 inch)	72
Relative humidity (%)	56
Wind (mph)	NW 5-8
Sky	clear
Soil moisture	dry
Soybean	
leaf no.	unifolioate
height (inch)	4
Yellow foxtail	
leaf no.	2 to 4
height (inch)	2 to 4
no./ft ²	31
Common lambsquarters	
leaf no.	2 to 4
height (inch)	2 to 4
no./ft ²	4
Redroot pigweed	
leaf no.	2 to 4
height (inch)	1 to 3
no./ft ²	5
Rainfall after application (inch)	
1 week	0.00
2 week	0.50
3 week	0.00

All treatments provide greater than 90% control of yellow foxtail. Foxtail control was slightly reduced (compared to clethodim and COC alone) when the 0.2 lb/acre rate of lact¹ + Pro-X or lact² +COC + AMS were added. All rates and adjuvant combinations with lact¹ or lact² performed similarly. All rates of lact¹ or lact² resulted in poor (48-65%) control of common lambsquarters and greater than 95% redroot pigweed control. The addition of fomesafen + COC + AMS resulted in greater common lambsquarters and poorer redroot pigweed control than any lact¹ or lact² combinations with clethodim. (Southwest Research and Outreach Center, University of Minnesota, Lamberton).

Table. Effect of adjuvants tank-mixed with clethodim and lactofen on weed control in soybeans at Lamberton, MN in 2001 (Getting and Potter).

		Inj	ury	SETLU				CHEAL			AMARE		
Treatment ^a	Rate	6/25	7/2	7/2	7/11	9/11	7/2	7/11	9/11	7/2	7/11	9/11	Yield
	(Ib/A or %)	(%)		(% coi			ontrol)	ntrol)					(bu/A) ^b
POST (2 to 4-inch weeds	<u>.)</u>												
Clet+Lact ¹ +AMS	0.094+0.2+2.5	15	5	95	93	93	74	65	48	95	96	95	39.3
Clet+Lact ¹ +AMS	0.094+0.125+2.5	14	5	91	92	93	73	73	50	94	97	95	42.0
Clet+Lact ¹ +Pro-X	0.094+0.2+0.625%	19	5	95	93	91	76	76	60	95	97	95	39.9
Clet+Lact ¹ +Pro-X	0.094+0.125+0.625%	19	5	94	90	93	74	70	59	95	97	95	41.4
Clet+Lact ² +COC+AMS	0.094+0.2+0.625%+2.5	23	5	95	91	91	81	76	65	95	98	96	41.6
Clet+Lact ² +COC+AMS	0.094+0.125+0.625%+2.5	20	5	94	91	93	80	78	61	95	98	97	40.9
Clet+Fome+COC+AMS	0.094+0.18+0.625%+2.5	15	5	95	93	95	90	88	83	93	91	90	47.0
Clet+COC+AMS	0.094+0.625%+2.5	4	3	93	94	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	32.6
Weedy Check	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11.2
Weed-free check	-	2	0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50.6
	LSD (0.10)	4.5	1.1	1.9	2.4	2.6	6.0	7.7	12.0	1.5	3.2	3.5	5.59

^a Clet = Select 2EC; Fome = Flexstar 1.88EC; Lact¹ = Phoenix 2EC; Lact² = Cobra 2EC. ^b Yield adjusted to 13% moisture.