Evaluation of Impact, a new pigment inhibitor herbicide, in field corn at Rochester, MN in 2005.

Breitenbach, Fritz R., Lisa M. Behnken, Krista M. Sheehan, and Matthew M. White

The objective of this trial was to evaluate Impact, a new pigment inhibitor herbicide, for weed control in field corn in southeastern Minnesota. The research site was a Lawler loam series containing 2.4% organic matter with a pH test of 7.4 and soil test P and K levels of 52 ppm and 168 ppm, respectively. The previous crop was soybean. The area was fertilized in the spring with 130 lb/A nitrogen, 23 lb/A phosphorus, 90 lb/A potash, and 19 lb/A sulfur. The field was topdressed with 40 lb/A of nitrogen on June 7, 2005. The field was disked and field cultivated prior to planting. The corn hybrid, DeKalb DKC 51-45RR, was planted on April 29, 2005 at a depth of 1.5 inches in 30-inch rows at 32,000 seeds/A. A randomized complete block design with four replications was used. Preemergence (PRE) and postemergence (POST) treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer delivering 20 gpa at 32 psi using Turbo Tee 11002 nozzles. Evaluations of the plots were taken on May 30, June 15, June 24, and July 18. Application dates, environmental conditions, and crop and weed stages are listed below.

Date April 28 May 30 Treatment PRE POST Temperature (F) air 49 64 Relative humidity (%) 33 52 Wind (mph) 6 3 Soil moisture dry adequate Corn stage Seeded 2 collar height (inch) 3.5 Giant ragweed Seeded 2 collar weed density (ft²) 8.8 height (inch) 0.1 common lambsquarters Seeded 2 collar weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp Seeded 2 collar weed density (ft²) 0.8 Giant foxtail 0.8 weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) 0.12 0.1				
Temperature (F) air A9 64 Relative humidity (%) 33 52 Wind (mph) 6 3 Soil moisture Corn stage height (inch) Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 week 2 1.26 2.06	Date	April 28	May 30	
air Relative humidity (%) 33 52 Wind (mph) 6 3 Soil moisture Corn stage height (inch) Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 week 2 1.26 2.06	Treatment	PRE	POST	
Relative humidity (%) Wind (mph) 6 33 Soil moisture Corn stage height (inch) Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 week 2 1.26 3.5 2.00lar 3.5 8.8 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	Temperature (F)			
Wind (mph) Soil moisture Corn stage Seeded height (inch) Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26	air	49	64	
Soil moisture Corn stage height (inch) Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 week 2 1.26 Seeded 2 collar 3.5 8.8 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	Relative humidity (%)	33	52	
Corn stage height (inch) Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 week 2 Collar 3.5 Collar 6.8 8.8 0.1 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 0.8 Collar 0.1 0.8 Collar 0.1 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 0.8 Collar 0.1 0.8 Collar 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.8 Collar 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.8	Wind (mph)	6	3	
stage height (inch) 3.5 Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) 8.8 height (inch) 2.8 Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	Soil moisture	dry	adequate	
height (inch) Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) height (inch) Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) height (inch) Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 0.15	Corn	-	-	
Giant ragweed weed density (ft²) 8.8 height (inch) 2.8 Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	stage	Seeded	2 collar	
weed density (ft²) 8.8 height (inch) 2.8 Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	height (inch)		3.5	
height (inch) 2.8 Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	Giant ragweed			
Common lambsquarters weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	weed density (ft ²)		8.8	
weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	height (inch)		2.8	
height (inch) 0.9 Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06				
Common waterhemp weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	weed density (ft ²)		0.1	
weed density(ft²) 92.3 height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail 0.1 weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) 0.12 0.11 week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	height (inch)		0.9	
height (inch) 0.8 Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06				
Giant foxtail weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	weed density(ft ²)		92.3	
weed density (ft²) 0.1 height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	height (inch)		0.8	
height (inch) 0.8 Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	Giant foxtail			
Rainfall after application (inch) week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	weed density (ft ²)		0.1	
week 1 0.12 0.11 week 2 1.26 2.06	height (inch)		0.8	
week 2 1.26 2.06	Rainfall after application (inch)			
	week 1	0.12	0.11	
week 3 1.65 0.19	week 2	1.26	2.06	
	week 3	1.65	0.19	

CONCLUSIONS

No crop response was observed following any postemergence treatments. Significant differences were observed for giant ragweed control, with treatments containing Callisto providing superior control compared to those with Impact or Hornet, June 15, June 24, and July 18 ratings. Treatments with Impact provided significantly greater giant ragweed control compared to the Hornet treatment, June 15, June 24, and July 18 ratings.

Herbicide treatments with Impact and Callisto provided similar control of common lambsquarters and common waterhemp on all rating dates. Impact and Callisto treatments provided significantly greater control of common lambsquarters and common waterhemp compared to the Hornet treatment, June 15, June 24, and July 18 ratings.

Giant foxtail control was greatest, 95 to 97%, with Impact and Callisto treatments that included Accent. Impact and Callisto treatments without Accent provided appreciably higher control of giant foxtail than the Hornet treatment, 88 to 90% compared to 81% control, respectively, July 18 rating. (University of Minnesota Extension Service, Regional Center, Rochester, MN.)

Table. Performance of Impact, a new pigment inihibitor herbicide, for weed control in corn on May 30, June 15, June 24, and July 18 at Rochester, MN in 2005.

Treatment ^a	Rate	Giant ragweed control	Common lambsquarters control	Common waterhemp control	Giant foxtail control	Corn yield ^b
		5/30 6/15 6/24 7/18	5/30 6/15 6/24 7/18	5/30 6/15 6/24 7/18	5/30 6/15 6/24 7/18	
PRE/POST	(rate/A)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(bu/A)
Dual II Magnum / Impact + AAtrex + MSO + 28% UAN	1.34 pt / 0.5 oz + 1 pt + 1% + 2.5%	0 95 93 91	71 99 99 99	99 97 97 98	90 98 98 90	164
Dual II Magnum / Impact + Accent + AAtrex + MSO + 28% UAN	1.34 pt / 0.5 oz + 0.67 oz + 1 pt + 1% + 2.5%	0 95 92 91	74 99 99 98	99 97 97 97	90 99 99 95	148
Dual II Magnum / Impact + AAtrex + MSO + 28% UAN	1.34 pt / 0.73 oz + 1 pt + 1% + 2.5%	0 94 94 91	71 99 99 99	99 98 96 98	90 98 97 88	157
Dual II Magnum / Impact + Accent + AAtrex + MSO + 28% UAN	1.34 pt / 0.73 oz + 0.67 oz + 1 pt + 1% + 2.5%	0 94 95 91	73 99 99 99	99 98 98 98	90 99 99 97	166
Dual II Magnum / Callisto + AAtrex + COC + 28% UAN	1.34 pt / 3 oz + 1 pt + 1% + 2.5%	0 98 99 98	71 99 99 99	99 98 99 98	90 97 97 89	167
Dual II Magnum / Callisto + Accent + AAtrex + COC + 28% UAN	1.34 pt / 3 oz + 0.67 oz + 1 pt + 1% + 2.5%	0 98 99 98	71 99 99 99	99 99 99 99	90 99 98 85	167
Dual II Magnum / Hornet + COC + 28% UAN	1.34 pt / 3 oz + 1% + 2.5%	0 72 84 81	73 97 93 84	99 95 93 90	90 97 97 81	165
Untreated		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0
LSD (P=0.05)		0 2 4 3	4 1 3 3	0 2 4 4	0 1 2 5	29

a. Impact = proposed Trade name, MSO = DyneAmic methylated seed oil, Helena; 28% UAN = an aqueous solution of urea and ammonium nitrate; COC = Agri-dex crop oil concentrate, Helena.

b. Yield adjusted to 15.5% moisture. Corn yield variability due to extreme drought conditions in June and early July.