<u>Weed emergence patterns and the effect of time of weed removal on soybean</u> <u>yield at Potsdam, MN in 2004.</u>

Breitenbach, Fritz R., Lisa M. Behnken, Thomas R. Hoverstad and Jeffrey L. Gunsolus.

The objective of this trial was to evaluate the effect of time of weed removal on soybean yield in southeastern Minnesota. The research site was a Port Byron silt loam containing 3.2% organic matter with a pH of 6.7 and soil test P and K levels of 66 ppm and 376 ppm respectively. The previous crop was corn. The field was chisel plowed in the fall and disked and field cultivated once prior to planting in the spring. The soybean variety, Pioneer 92-MOO, was planted on May 28, 2004 at a depth of 1.5 inches in 30-inch rows at 150,000 seeds/A. A randomized complete block design with four replications was used. Preemergence (PRE) and postemergence (POST I, II, III, IV, and V) treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer, delivering 20 gpa at 32 psi using Turbo Tee 11002 nozzles. Application dates, environmental conditions, and crop and weed stages are listed below.

| Date | May 28 | June 25 | July 1 | July 12 | July 19 | July 23 |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Treatment | PRE | POST I | POST II | POST III | POST IV | POST V |
| Temperature (F) | | | | | | |
| air | 69 | 67 | 80 | 76 | 82 | 67 |
| Relative humidity (%) | 45 | 43 | 60 | 76 | 69 | 56 |
| Wind (mph) | 3 | 18 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 13 |
| Soybean | | | | | | |
| stage | | V1-V2 | V3 | V4 | R2 | R2 |
| height (inches) | | 4.5 | 6.0 | 11 | 15 | 19 |
| Giant ragweed | | | | | | |
| weed density/ft ² | | 0.2 | 0.7 | moderate | moderate | moderate |
| height (inch) | | 2.5 | 5.8 | 10.0 | 22.0 | 30.0 |
| Common lambsquarters | | | | | | |
| weed density/ft ² | | 7.4 | 12.7 | moderate | moderate | moderate |
| height (inch) | | 0.75 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 9.0 |
| Velvetleaf | | | | | | |
| weed density/ft ² | | 6 | 0.9 | light | light | light |
| height (inch) | | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| Wild proso millet | | | | | | |
| weed density/ft ² | | 4.2 | 6.1 | moderate | moderate | moderate |
| height (inch) | | 0.5 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 22 |
| Rainfall after application | | | | | | |
| (inch) | | | | | | |
| week 1 | 1.3 | 0.26 | 1.73 | 0.23 | 1.1 | 0.65 |
| week 2 | 4.32 | 1.73 | 0.92 | 1.1 | 0.54 | 0.58 |
| week 3 | 3.24 | 0.92 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 1.72 | 0.11 |

Different weeds emerge at different times during the growing season. If left uncontrolled, weeds emerging before or with the crop are more competitive than weeds that emerge after crop emergence. The preemergence application of Boundary at 1.25 pt/A was not enough to maximize yield, 33.9 bu/A. Touchdown Total applied alone and following Boundary performed the best when applied at POST I,II and III (1, 3, and 5 inch weeds) or when applied as a sequential application at POST II and V. The exception was Boundary followed by Touchdown Total at POST V.

In the combined location study from Lamberton, Morris, Rochester, Waseca, Luverne, and Potsdam, the results indicate that a one-pass Touchdown Total application at 5 inch weeds (POST III) could maximize yield. If the application of Touchdown Total was made too early, soybean yield was reduced. The preemergence application Boundary followed by POST III

application of Touchdown Total also provided good results. Two pass Touchdown Total at POST II and POST V also provided an effective weed control program. Data from this trial is presented in a following report. (University of Minnesota Extension Service, Regional Center, Rochester, MN)

| Treatment Number | Treatment | Rate | Soybean yield |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | | (rate/A) | (bu/A) |
| | PRE | | |
| 11 | Boundary | 1.25 pt | 33.9 |
| | PRE/POST I (1"weeds) | | |
| 1 | Boundary / Touchdown Total + AMS | 1.25 pt / 24 oz + 2.5 lb | 44.1 |
| | POST I (1"weeds) | | |
| 2 | Touchdown Total + AMS | 24 oz + 2.5 lbs | 46.6 |
| | PRE / POST II (3"weeds) | | |
| 3 | Boundary / Touchdown Total + AMS | 1.25 pt / 24 oz + 2.5 lb | 45.3 |
| | POST II (3"weeds) | | |
| 4 | Touchdown Total + AMS | 24 oz + 2.5 lbs | 45.1 |
| | PRE / POST III (5"weeds) | | |
| 5 | Boundary / Touchdown Total + AMS | 1.25 pt / 24 oz + 2.5 lb | 42.4 |
| | POST III (5"weeds) | | |
| 6 | Touchdown Total + AMS | 24 oz + 2.5 lbs | 43.8 |
| | PRE / POST IV (7"weeds) | | |
| 7 | Boundary / Touchdown Total + AMS | 1.25 pt / 24 oz + 2.5 lb | 39.7 |
| | POST IV (7"weeds) | | |
| 8 | Touchdown Total + AMS | 24 oz + 2.5 lbs | 38.1 |
| | PRE / POST V (9"weeds) | | |
| 9 | Boundary / Touchdown Total + AMS | 1.25 pt / 24 oz + 2.5 lb | 42.1 |
| | POST V (9"weeds) | | |
| 10 | Touchdown Total + AMS | 24 oz + 2.5 lbs | 39.6 |
| | POST II / POST V (3" / 3" regrowth) | | |
| 10 | Touchdown Total + AMS / | 24 oz + 2.5 lbs / | 15 2 |
| 12 | Touchdown Total + AMS | 24 oz + 2.5 lbs | 45.3 |
| | LSD (0.10) | | 4.8 |

Table. Effect of time of weed removal on soybean yield at Potsdam, MN in 2004 (Breitenbach, Behnken, Hoverstad and Gunsolus).