Efficacy of A22089 Herbicide Programs for controlling weeds in HPPD tolerant Soybean at Rochester, MN in 2018.

Behnken, Lisa M., Ryan Miller, and Jamie Gehling

The objective of this trial was to evaluate the efficacy of HPPD herbicide programs for controlling weeds in HPPD tolerant soybean in southeastern Minnesota. The research site was a loamy sand series with pH of 6.6, O.M. 2.1% and soil test P and K levels of 49 ppm and 135 ppm, respectively. The field was spring disked and field cultivated twice prior to planting. The previous crop was cover crop mix. A regulated soybean variety was planted on June 4, 2018 at a depth of 1.5 inches in 30-inch rows at a rate of 129,000 seeds per acre. Soybean emergence date was June 11, 2018. A randomized complete block design was used with four replications. Preemergence (PRE) treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer delivering 15 gpa at 40 psi with a ground speed of 4.0 mph using TTI 110015 spray tips. (POST) treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer delivering 15 gpa at 40 psi with a ground speed of 4.0 mph using TTI 110015 spray tips. (POST) treatments were applied with a tractor-mounted sprayer delivering 15 gpa at 40 psi with a ground speed of 4.0 mph using TUF0Tee 110015 spray tips. Evaluations of the plots were taken June 25, July 6, and July 9. Crop was destroyed on July 9, 2018 to comply with protocol requirements. Application dates, environmental conditions, and weed stages can be found in Table 1. Performance rating for control of giant ragweed, common lambsquarters, common waterhemp, and grass control, along with crop injury can be found in Tables 2 through 6, respectively.

DISCUSSION

A22089 at 1.8 qt/A applied PRE provided excellent control (98-99%) of giant ragweed, common lambsquarters, common waterhemp and grasses in this study. There was no difference in level of control of these weeds when either Tricor at 6.66 oz wt/a or Spartan at fl oz/a were included with A22089. No crop injury was observed with these treatments. The greatest difference in control was for giant ragweed where A22089 performed better (99%) compared to the standards used in this trial, Boundary (35%), Valor XLT (89%), and Sonic (95%). Treatments included in this trial contain unregistered products. (University of Minnesota Extension Regional Office, Rochester).

Table 1. Application timing, plant stages, environmental conditions.							
Date	6/5	6/29					
Treatment	PRE (A)	POST I (B)					
Temperature (F)							
Air	74	74					
Soil	70.9	73.6					
Relative Humidity (%)	63	71					
Wind (mph)	3	18					
Soil Moisture	Normal	Normal					
Soybean							
Stage		V3					
Height (in)		9.6					
Giant Ragweed							
Weed Density (ft ²)							
Height (in)							
Common Lambsquarter							
Weed Density (ft ²)		32					
Height (in)		2.0					
Common Waterhemp							
Weed Density (ft ²)		24					
Height (in)		2.8					
Grass							
Height (in)		3.0					
Rainfall after each application (in)							
Week 1	0.93	2.47					
Week 2	1.87	1.26					
Week 3	2.89	0.64					

Table 2. Giant ragweed control with A22089 in an HPPD tolerant soybean at Rochester, MN in 2018.											
Pest	Code			AMBTR							
Pest	Name		GIANT RAGWEED								
Rating Date					Jun-25-2018 Jul-6-2018			Jul-9-2018			
Trt	Treatment		Rate	Appl		PERCENT CONTROL (%)					
1	UNTREATED CHECK				0	d	0	d	0	е	
PRE	(6/5/18)										
2	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а	
3	TRICOR	6.66	oz wt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а	
	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А							
4	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а	
	SPARTAN	4.5	fl oz/a	А							
5	BOUNDARY	1.5	pt/a	А	38	С	33	C	35	d	
6	VALOR XLT	2.5	oz wt/a	А	96	b	90	b	89	С	
7	SONIC	4.0	oz wt/a	А	98	а	96	а	95	b	
POS	POST I (6/29/18)										
8	LIBERTY 280	36	fl oz/a	В	0	d	99	а	99	а	
	N-PAK AMS	2.5	% v/v	В							
LSD	P=.10			1	1.6			3.4 3.0			

Table 3. Common lambsquarters control with A22089 in an HPPD tolerant soybean at Rochester, MN in 2018.												
Pes	t Code				CHEAL							
Pes	t Name				COMMON LAMBSQUARTERS							
Rati	ing Date		Jun-25-2018 Jul-6-2018				Jul-9-2018					
Trt	Treatment		Rate	Appl		Р	ERCENT C	ONTROL (%	6)			
1	UNTREATED CHECK				0	С	0	С	0	С		
PRE	E (6/5/18)											
2	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а		
3	TRICOR	6.66	oz wt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а		
	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А								
4	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а		
	SPARTAN	4.5	fl oz/a	Α								
5	BOUNDARY	1.5	pt/a	А	99	а	98	ab	97	b		
6	VALOR XLT	2.5	oz wt/a	А	98	b	97	b	99	а		
7	SONIC	4.0	oz wt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а		
PO	ST I (6/29/18)											
8	LIBERTY 280	36	fl oz/a	В	0	С	99	а	99	а		
	N-PAK AMS	2.5	% v/v	В								
LSE) P=.10				0	.9	2.0 1.3					

Table 4. Common waterhemp control with A22089 in an HPPD tolerant soybean at Rochester, MN in 2018.											
Pest Code					AMATA						
Pes	t Name		COMMON WATERHEMP								
Rati	ing Date				Jun-2	Jun-25-2018		Jul-6-2018		-2018	
Trt	Treatment		Rate /	Appl		PE	RCENT CO	ONTROL (%)			
1	UNTREATED CHECK				0	C	0	С	0	d	
PRI	E (6/5/18)										
2	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	98	ab	98	а	98	ab	
3	TRICOR	6.66	oz wt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а	
	A22089	1.8	qt/a	Α							
4	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	99	ab	99	а	99	а	
	SPARTAN	4.5	fl oz/a	Α							
5	BOUNDARY	1.5	pt/a	Α	98	ab	95	b	94	C	
6	VALOR XLT	2.5	oz wt/a	А	99	а	97	ab	96	bc	
7	SONIC	4.0	oz wt/a	Α	97	b	95	b	95	C	
PO	POST I (6/29/18)										
8	LIBERTY 280	36	fl oz/a	В	0	C	99	а	96	bc	
	N-PAK AMS	2.5	% v/v	В							
LSE) P=.10				1	.6	2	2.3	2	.4	

Tabl	e 5. Grass control with	A2208	9 in an F	IPPD to	lerant soy	bean at Roc	hester, MN	l in 2018.			
Pest	Code				GRASS						
Ratin	g Date		Jun-25-2018		Jul-6-2018		Jul-9-2018				
Trt	Treatment	Rate Appl PERCENT CONTROL (%)									
1	UNTREATED CHECK				0	C	0	C	0	C	
PRE	(6/5/18)										
2	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	97	а	98	а	98	а	
3	TRICOR	6.66	oz wt/a	А	99	а	99	а	99	а	
	A22089	1.8	qt/a	Α							
4	A22089	1.8	qt/a	А	98	а	98	а	98	а	
	SPARTAN	4.5	fl oz/a	Α							
5	BOUNDARY	1.5	pt/a	Α	98	а	98	а	98	а	
6	VALOR XLT	2.5	oz wt/a	А	93	b	91	b	91	b	
7	SONIC	4.0	oz wt/a	Α	97	а	90	b	89	b	
POS	POST I (6/29/18)										
8	LIBERTY 280	36	fl oz/a	В	0	С	99	а	99	а	
	N-PAK AMS	2.5	% v/v	В							
LSD	P=.10			3	3.3	4	.9	4	.7		