Managing Waterhemp in Soybean with Layered Residual Herbicides - A Strategy for Controlling ALS and Glyphosate Resistant Waterhemp in Minnesota, 2015 and 2016.

Breitenbach, Fritz R., Lisa M., Behnken, Jeffrey L. Gunsolus, Ellen Sheehan and Annette Kyllo

Tall waterhemp (Amaranthus tuberculatus) is expanding its reach across Minnesota and herbicide resistant populations are becoming more commonplace. Most waterhemp populations in Minnesota are resistant to ALS (Group-2) herbicides. In 2007, waterhemp populations resistant to glyphosate (Group-9) were reported and in 2015 and 2016, populations in southern Minnesota were confirmed resistant to PPO herbicides (Group-14), with some populations resistant to all three herbicide groups, Group-2, Group-9 and Group-14<sup>1</sup>. New management strategies to control waterhemp are needed. Waterhemp seedlings emerge over an extended period, frequently outlasting the residual control achieved by herbicides applied before or at crop planting. One strategy for dealing with glyphosate-, PPO- and ALS- resistant waterhemp is to layer Group-15 soil residual herbicides, preemergence (PRE) followed by additional Group-15 residual herbicide at early postemergence (POST), about 30 days after planting, Figure 1. Several residual herbicides. When activated by rainfall, these post-applied residual herbicides can extend the duration of waterhemp seedling control. The objective of this trial was to evaluate and demonstrate the effectiveness of layering soil residual herbicides for control of common waterhemp in soybeans in southeastern Minnesota.

## **Materials and Methods:**

Three Group-15 herbicides were evaluated in this study, 1) Dual II Magnum (s-metolachlor) at 1.5 pts/A PRE only or 1.5 pt/A PRE followed by 1.0 pt/A POST, 2) Outlook (dimethenamid-P) at 18 fl oz/A PRE only or 14 fl oz/A PRE followed by 10 fl oz/A POST, and 3) Warrant (acetochlor) at 1.6 qt/A PRE only or 1.6 qt/A PRE followed by 1.6 qt/A POST. Additional treatments were included in 2016 to evaluate the 1) optimum time for POST layered application to be made, (20, 29 or 44 days after PRE or DAP), 2) effect of layering two different Group-15 herbicides, used at maximum labelled rates, and 3) effectiveness of layering multiple residual SOAs, Group-14 Valor SX (flumioxazin) followed by a Group-15 Dual II Magnum.

Herbicide selection was based on their known effectiveness for controlling common waterhemp and their flexibility of application timing. Rates used were based on soil type and seasonal limits. The waterhemp population at Rochester is ALS-resistant. Pursuit (imazethapyr) in 2015 and FirstRate (chloransulam) in 2016 were used preemergence to assist in controlling other broadleaf weeds present in this study. The research site was a Lawler loam series that was fall chisel plowed, spring disked and field cultivated prior to planting. Stine 22LD23 (LibertyLink) soybean was planted May 5, 2015 in 30-inch rows at 135,000 seeds per acre. Stine 23LF32 (LibertyLink) was planted on May 4, 2016 in 30-inch rows at 165,000 seeds per acre. A randomized complete block was used with three replications. Preemergence treatments were applied immediately after planting. Layered soil residuals herbicides were applied POST 34 days (2015) and 22, 29 or 44 days (2016) after PRE herbicides were applied. Evaluations were taken from May through September. The center two rows of each plot were machine harvested on October 13, 2015 and 2016. Application dates, environmental conditions, and weed stages can be found in Table 1. Performance ratings for common waterhemp, common lambsquarters and grass control and crop response are in Tables 2 - 8.

## Discussion

 In 2015, layered or sequential applications of Dual II Magnum, Outlook or Warrant herbicides provided significantly better (95, 94 and 90%, respectively) season-long control of waterhemp compared to their PRE only treatments (81, 71, and 62%, respectively), 9/29/15 rating, Table 2. The results were similar in 2016, with the layered herbicides providing significantly better (94, 95 and 91%, respectively) season long control compared to their PRE only treatments (76, 79, and 79%, respectively), 9/26/16 rating, Table 3. The twoyear average waterhemp control achieved with layering herbicides in this study was 20% greater than PRE only, Figure 2. An open soybean canopy well into July allowed waterhemp to continue to emerge and compete with the crop, Figure 3.

- 2. Layering two different Group-15 herbicides, Zidua (pyroxasulfone) at 2.5 oz/A PRE followed by Outlook at 18 fl oz/A POST, (maximum labelled rates), provided satisfactory control, 93%, 9/26/ 26 rating.
- 3. These data show the optimum time for applying the POST layered residual Group-15 herbicide was about 30 DAP, Table 4. The 20 DAP and 29 DAP provided 92 to 98% season-long control with minimal waterhemp escapes, which was significantly better than the later application at 44 DAP, which resulted in escapes by the June 27 rating, 85% control. This correlates with their average half-life<sup>2</sup> (~30 days) as control starts to diminish about 30 days after PRE application, Table 4. This shows that when the second residual application is delayed, it would be necessary to include a postemergence herbicide that effectively controls emerged waterhemp and other weeds, as Group-15 herbicides do not control emerged waterhemp.
- 4. Layering effective residual, SOA's is a good strategy for controlling waterhemp populations. Group-14 Valor SX applied PRE followed by Group-15 Dual II Magnum applied POST provided very good season long waterhemp control, Table 5. When compared to the layered Group-15 herbicide programs, the Valor SX followed by Dual II Magnum provided excellent control (98%), which was similar to the layered Dual II Magnum (94%) and Outlook (95%) and significantly better than the Warrant (91%) control, Table 5. However, because populations of PPO-resistant waterhemp have been increasing in Minnesota, the effectiveness of a PRE Group-14 herbicide may be diminished and/or provide a shorter duration of control. Layering an effective residual Group-15 herbicide would make this a more durable system.

This trial demonstrates that layering of effective residual herbicides is a strategy that could provide season long control of waterhemp. However, as waterhemp populations become resistant to multiple herbicides, the need for new weed management strategies, including non-chemical options, must be implemented. (University of Minnesota Extension Regional Office, Rochester).

1. Heap, I. The International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds. Online. November, 2016 . Available <u>www.weedscience.org</u> 2. National Pesticide Information Center, http://npic.orst.edu/HPT/index.html.

Table 1. Application timing, plant stages, environmental conditions in 2016.												
Date	5/4	5/24	6/2	6/17								
Treatment	PRE	POST I	POST II	POST III								
Temperature (F)												
Air	59.0	76.0	68.0	72.0								
Soil	56.3	71.3	69.5	68.5								
Relative Humidity (%)	40	64	56	78								
Wind (mph)	12	5	9	10								
Soil Moisture	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal								
Soybean												
Stage		VC	V2	V5								
Height (inch)		2.0	4.4	11.2								
Common Waterhemp												
Density (ft <sup>2</sup> )				40.5								
Height (inch)			1.2	4.9								
Rainfall after each application												
Week 1	0.87	2.79	0.9	0.41								
Week 2	0.58	1.22	3.75	0.65								
Week 3	0.21	2.66	0.3	1.66								

Figure 1. Concept of layering soil residual herbicide (PRE / POST) to control waterhemp populations in soybean.



*Figure 2.* Average control of 2015 and 2016 of layered (PRE/POST) residual herbicides compared to preemergence (PRE) application only.





**Figure 3.** Comparison of weed control in soybean with a single preemergence, May 5, application of Outlook (left and layered applications of Outlook on May 5 and June 8 (right). Top photos taken on July 14, 2015. Bottom photos taken three weeks later on August 6, 2015.



Table 2. Waterhemp and common lambsquarters control and soybean yield with residual herbicides applied either PRE (A) only or layered PRE/POST (A/B) at Rochester, MN in 2015.

Pest	Name					Con wate	nmon rhemp	Com Jambso	mon uarters	YIE 13	LD %	
Rati	ng Date					nuto	Sep-2	9-2015		Oct-13	3-2015	
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	Coc Des	le cription		(%) CO		YIELD (bu/A)			
1	SOA 2, 15				-	81	b	92	С	43.1	cd	
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	Α								
	PURSUIT	4	fl oz/a	Α								
2	SOA 2, 15 / 15					95	а	97	ab	48.9	ab	
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	Α								
	PURSUIT	4	fl oz/a	Α								
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	В	+/- 30 DAP							
3	SOA 2, 15					71	С	98	ab	40.3	d	
	OUTLOOK	18	fl oz/a	Α								
	PURSUIT	4	fl oz/a	Α								
4	SOA 2, 15 / 15					94	а	98	ab	51.4	а	
	OUTLOOK	14	fl oz/a	Α								
	PURSUIT	4	fl oz/a	Α								
	OUTLOOK	10	fl oz/a	В	+/- 30 DAP							
5	SOA 2, 15					62	d	97	b	32.3	е	
	WARRANT	1.6	qt/a	Α								
	PURSUIT	4	fl oz/a	Α								
6	SOA 2, 15 / 15					90	а	99	а	46.1	bc	
	WARRANT	1.6	qt/a	Α								
	PURSUIT	4	fl oz/a	Α								
	WARRANT	1.6	qt/a	В	+/- 30 DAP							
LSD	P=.10					6	5.9	2	.3	4.1		

 Table 3. Waterhemp and common lambsquarters control and soybean yield with residual herbicides applied either PRE (A) only or layered PRE/POST (A/C) at Rochester, MN in 2016.

Pest Name			Com	mon hemn	Common lar	nbsquarters	YIELD @13%					
Rati	na Date					Water	Sep-2	6-2016		Oct-14	-2016	
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	Code	Description		(%) CO	(bu/A)				
1	SOA 15, 2				•	76	b	86	ab	45.7	bc	
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	А								
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А								
2	SOA 15, 2 / 15					94	а	92	а	47.7	abc	
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	А								
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А								
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	С	+/- 30 DAP							
3	SOA 15, 2					79	b	82	b	50.3	ab	
	OUTLOOK	18	fl oz/a	А								
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А								
4	SOA 15, 2 / 15					95	а	83	b	51.8	ab	
	OUTLOOK	14	fl oz/a	А								
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А								
	OUTLOOK	10	fl oz/a	С	+/- 30 DAP							
5	SOA 15 ,2					79	b	81	b	42.2	С	
	WARRANT	1.6	qt/a	А								
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А								
6	SOA 15, 2 / 15					91	а	87	ab	52.9	а	
	WARRANT	1.6	qt/a	А								
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А								
	WARRANT	1.6	qt/a	С	+/- 30 DAP							
LSD	SD P=.10 for weed control, LSD P=.20 for yield						0	6.	5	4.95		

 Table 4. Comparison of a PRE Group-15 herbicide followed by three different POST Group-15 application timings (20 DAP, 29 DAP and 44 DAP) for waterhemp control in soybeans at Rochester, MN in 2016.

Pest	t					Waterhemp species									
Rati	ng Date					Jun-1	-2016	Jun-10-2	2016	Jun-27-2	2016	Jul-8-2	2016	Sep-20	5-2016
No.	Name	Rate Ur	nit	Code	Description	on (%) CONTROL									
A/B =	= PRE / POST I 5-4-16 / 5	24-16													
11	SOA 15 ,2 / 15					98	а	98	а	94	а	93	а	93	а
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	Α											
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А											
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	В	+ 20 DAP										
A/C	= PRE / POST II 5-4-16	6-2-16													
2	SOA 15, 2 / 15					97	b	96	а	93	а	92	а	94	а
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	А											
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	Α											
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	С	+ 29 DAP										
A/D	= PRE / POST III 5-4-10	6/6-17-16	5												
12	SOA 15, 2/15					97	b	93	b	85	b	83	b	83	b
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	А											
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А											
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	D	+ 44 DAP										
LSD	P=.10 for weed ratings					0.	9	2.6		2.2		3.5	5	6.	.2

Table 5. Common w	ater	hemp	contr	ol with	preeme	ergenco	e comp	ared to	preem	ergenc	e follov	ved by	postem	ergena	ce herb	icides	in
SOYDEANS IN SE IVIN Post Codo	IN Z	010.							0.04	ΔΤΔ						VIE	חו
Pest Code Bost Namo								C		ATA vatorbo	mn						20/
Pesting Data				May	24	hu	no 1		011111011 V	l	inp 100.27		luly g	Se	nt-26	Octob	5 /0 00r 13
Treatment	Pat	۵	Annl	iviay	-24	Ju	116-1	<u> </u>			1116-27	-	July-0	50	<i>.</i> ρι-20	(hu	/Δ)
	Nat	C	лррі	0	c	0	f	0	00 (0() n		a	0	a	Δ	•	28.3	ρ
				U	C	U	1	U	y	U	y	U	y	U	C	20.5	C
EIDSTDATE	0.6	07/2	٨														
A = PRF 5-4-16	0.0	02/a	~														
1 SOA 15 2				98	ab	97	bc	90	e	78	f	72	f	76	b	45 7	cd
	1.1.5	pt/a	А	70	ub		20	70	Ū		•		•		ä	1017	ou
FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	A														
3 SOA 15.2				98	ab	98	а	98	ab	80	f	72	f	79	cd	50.3	abc
OUTLOOK	18	fl oz/	aΑ				u				•				•••		
FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ														
5 SOA 15 2	0.0	02/4	Λ	97	ah	95	de	85	f	80	f	80	Δ	70	cd	122	Ь
WARRANT	16	at/a	Δ	,,	ab	75	uc	05	•	00	•	00	C	,,	cu	72.2	u
FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ														
7 SOA 14 2	0.0	ULIU	~	99	а	99	а	99	а	98	а	97	ah	98	а	51.8	ah
VALOR SX	3	oz/a	Α	,,	u	,,	u	,,	u	70	u		ub	70	u	01.0	ub
FIRSTRATE	06	oz/a	A														
A/B = PRE / POST I 5	-4-16	/ 5-24-	16 (20	DAP)													
11 SOA 15 .2 / 15		/ • _ ·	(	98	ab	98	ab	98	ab	94	bcd	93	bcd	93	b	51.6	ab
	115	nt/a	Δ												~	••	
FIDSTDATE	0.6	07/2	^														
	0.0	nt/a	R														
A/C = PRE / POST II	5-4-16	5/6-2-	16 (29	DAP)													
2 SOA 15.2/15	, , ,,,	702	10 (2)	99	а	97	С	96	С	93	cd	92	cd	94	ab	47.7	bcd
DUAL II MAGNUN	1 1.5	pt/a	А		-		-		-								
FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А														
DUAL II MAGNUN	1 1.0	pt/a	С														
4 SOA 15, 2 / 15				98	ab	97	С	98	abc	96	abc	95	abc	95	ab	51.8	ab
OUTLOOK	14	fl oz/a	А														
FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А														
OUTLOOK	10	fl oz/a	С														
6 SOA 15, 2 / 15				97	b	95	е	97	bc	91	d	91	d	91	b	52.9	ab
WARRANT	1.6	gt/a	А														
FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А														
WARRANT	1.6	gt/a	С														
8 SOA 14,2 / 15				98	ab	99	а	99	а	97	ab	98	а	98	а	53.	а
VALOR SX	3	oz/a	А														-
FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А														
DUAL II MAGNUN	1 1.0	pt/a	С														
10 SOA 15.2 / 15				98	ab	96	cd	99	а	94	bcd	93	bcd	93	b	50.6	abc
	25	07/2	Δ	70	ab		ou	,,	u	,,	bou	10	bou		2	00.0	400
FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	A														
	18	fl oz/a	C														
A/C = PRE / POST III	5-4-1	6/6-1	7-16 (4	4 DAP)													
12 SOA 15 2/15	J - T	010-11	10 (4	99	а	97	bc	93	h	85	e	83	e	83	C	48 2	abc
	115	nt/a	Α		4		20		~		5		č		5		
FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ														
	110	nt/a	D														
LSD P=.10 for weed ratir	nas	Puu	5												_		
LSD P=.20 for yield	351			2	!		1		2		4		4		5	5.	8

Table 6. Common lambsquar sovbeans in SE MN in 2016.	rters contro	ol with pre	eeme	ergenc	e com	pared t	o pree	mergei	nce fol	lowed	by pos	temer	gence h	nerbicide	es in
Pest Code							CHE	AL						YIELD	
Pest Name						Com	mon la	mbsqua	rter					@1	3%
Rating Date		Mav-24	l.	Jun	-1	Jun	10	Jun	-27	Ju	-8	Sept 26		October 13	
Trt Treatment Rate	Appl	<b>j</b>					(%) COI	NTROL						(bu	/A)
9 SOA 2		0	b	0	С	0	d	0	е	0	f	0	е	28.3	e
CHECK															
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
A = PRE 5-4-16													l		
1 SOA 15, 2		94	a	95	ab	90	bc	86	bc	86	d	86	cd	45.7	cd
DUAL II MAGNUM 1.5 p	ot/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
3 SOA 15, 2		93	a	96	ab	93	ab	88	bc	86	d	82	d	50.3	abc
OUTLOOK 18 f	l oz/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
5 SOA 15 ,2		94	а	95	b	86	С	80	d	80	е	81	d	42.2	С
WARRANT 1.6 c	qt/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
7 SOA 14, 2		95	a	97	а	97	а	95	а	95	а	96a	а	51.8	ab
VALOR SX 3 c	oz/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
A/B = PRE / POST I 5-4-16 / 5-24	4-16 (20 DAI	P)													
11 SOA 15 ,2 / 15		94	a	96	ab	93	ab	89	bc	87	cd	87	bcd	51.6	ab
DUAL II MAGNUM 1.5 p	ot/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
DUAL II MAGNUM 1.0 p	ot/a B														
A/C = PRE / POST II 5-4-16 / 6-2	2-16 (29 DAP	?)			. 1		- 1						- 1		
2 SOA 15, 2 / 15		93	a	97	ab	94	ab	92	ab	92	abc	92	abc	47.7	bcd
DUAL II MAGNUM 1.5 p	ot/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
DUAL II MAGNUM 1.0 p	ot/a C														
4 SOA 15, 2 / 15		93	a	95	ab	90	bc	84	cd	83	de	83	d	51.8	ab
OUTLOOK 14 f	l oz/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
OUTLOOK 10 f	l oz/a C														
6 SOA 15 2/15		02	2	05	h	01	bc	00	bc	97	bcd	Q7	bcd	52.0	ah
WARPANT 16 r	nt/a Δ	72	a	75	U	71	DC.	00	00	07	bcu	07	bcu	JZ.7	ab
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	ηνα π 17/2 Δ														
WARRANT 16 c	nt/a C														
8 SOA 14 2 / 15	100 0	95	a	97	ah	95	ah	92	ah	03	ah	03	ah	537	а
VALOR SX 3 c	oz/a A	70	u	,,	ub	70	ub	<i>,</i> <u></u>	ub	/0	uo	70	ub	00.7	u
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
DUAL IL MAGNUM 1.0 r	ot/a C														
10 SOA 15.2 / 15		92	а	95	ab	90	bc	87	bc	87	cd	87	cd	50.6	abc
ZIDUA 2.5 c	oz/a A	/_	~	/0	ub	70	20	0,	20	0,	ou	07	ou	00.0	ubo
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
OUTLOOK 18 f	l oz/a C														
A/D = PRE / POST III 5-4-16 / 6-	17-16 (44 DA	IP)													
12 SOA 15, 2/15		94	а	95	ab	92	ab	88	bc	85	de	85	d	48.2	abc
DUAL II MAGNUM 1.5 p	ot/a A														
FIRSTRATE 0.6 c	oz/a A														
DUAL II MAGNUM 1.0 p	ot/a D														
LSD P=.10 for weed ratings, LSD P= 20 for yield		5		2		6	•	6		(	5	e	5	5	8

Tab in s	le 7. Grass contro oybeans in SE M	ol with N in 20	pree )16.	merge	ence c	ompa	red to p	preem	ergen	ce follo	owed k	oy pos	temerę	gence l	herbici	des
Pest	Code								GR	ASS					YIE	LD
Pest	Name								Grang	gea sp.					@1	3%
Ratin	g Date				Ju	n-1	Octob	per 13	Jun-27		Jul-8		Sep-26		October 13	
Trt	Treatment	Rate		Appl			1		(%) CC	ONTROL					(bu	/A)
9	SOA 2				0	С	0	С	0	f	0	е	0	d	28.3	е
	CHECK															
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	Α												
A = F	PRE 5/4/16															_
1	SOA 15, 2				99	ab	99	а	97	abc	97	ab	97	а	45.7	cd
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	Α												
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	Α												
3	SOA 15, 2				99	а	99	а	98	ab	98	а	98	а	50.3	abc
	OUTLOOK	18	fl oz/	аA												
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	Α												
5	SOA 15.2				97	b	93	b	91	е	91	d	90	С	42.2	d
	WARRANT	1.6	qt/a	А												
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	А												
7	SOA 14-2	0.0	02/4		97	ab	96	а	92	de	91	b	91	C	51.8	ab
1		3	07/2	Δ	,,	ub	70	u	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	uc	,,	u	, í	0	01.0	ub
	FIRSTRATE	06	07/2	Δ												
A/R =	= PRF/POST   5/4/16 / 5	/24/16 (2														
11	SOA 15 2/15	2 11 10 (2		, 	99	а	99	а	99	а	99	а	98	а	51.6	ab
		15	nt/a	Δ	,,	u	,,,	u		ŭ	,,	u	70	u	01.0	ub
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ												
		1.0	nt/a	B												
$\Delta/C$ -	- PRF/ POST II 5/4/16 / /	5/2/16 (2)	9 DAP)	U					1					-		
2	SOA 15 2/15	<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>	<i>,                                    </i>		99	а	99	а	97	abc	97	ab	96	ab	477	bcd
-		15	nt/a	Δ	,,	u	,,,	u		abo	,,	ub	70	ub	17.7	bou
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ												
		1.0	nt/a	C C												
1		1.0	pua	C	00	а	08	а	08	ah	07	ah	07	2	51.8	ah
-		1/	fl oz/	۸ د	11	a	70	a	70	ab	71	ab	71	a	51.0	ab
	EIDSTDATE	0.6	07/2													
		0.0 10	floz	A AC												
6	SOA 15 2/15	10	II UZ/	ac	00	2	00	2	05	bod	05	ha	02	ha	F2 0	ab
0	SUA 10, 27 10	1/	ant/a	•	99	a	98	a	90	bcu	90	DC	93	DC	52.9	ab
		1.6	qt/a	A												
	FIRSTRATE	0.0	02/a	A												
	WARRANI	1.6	qt/a	L	00		07		04		00	1	00		F0 7	
8	SOA 14,2 / 15	-		-	98	ab	97	а	94	cde	93	Cd	93	bC	53.7	а
	VALOR SX	3	oz/a	A												
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	A												
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	С						-						
10	SOA 15, 2 / 15				99	а	99	а	98	ab	98	а	97	а	50.6	abc
	ZIDUA	2.5	oz/a	А												
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	Α												
	OUTLOOK	18	fl oz/	a C												
A/D =	= PRE/POST III 5/4/16 / 6	6/17/16 (4	44 DAF	)					1							
12	SOA 15, 2/15				99	а	99	а	98	ab	98	а	98	а	48.2	abc
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	Α												
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	Α												
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	D												
LSDF	P=.10 for weed ratings,				1	8	3	1	3	3.2	3	.0	3	.7	5	8
LSD	P=.20 IOF VIEID						5.		1		Ŭ		Ĭ			

Table sovbe	8. Crop respon	se t n 20	o layered	d PRE/PO	ST herbici	de prog	rams	used	to cor	ntrol w	aterh	emp in	
Pest Co	de						INJ	URY				YIE	ELD
Dating I	Data				May 24	lur	1	lun	10	lun	. 27	@13%	
кашу	Dale				iviay-24	Jui	1-1	Juli	-10	Jui	-27	October 13	
Trt	Treatment		Rate	Appl		P	ercent	Injury (%	5)			(bu	I/A)
9	SOA 2				7	0	b	0	е	0	b	28.3	е
	CHECK			_									
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	Α									
A = PRE	- 5/4/16				10							45.7	
1	SUA 15, 2	4 5			10	0	b	0	е	0	b	45.7	ca
		1.5	pt/a	A									
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	A	10	0	Ŀ	0		0		50.2	
3	SUA 15, 2	10	fl ==/=	٨	12	U	a	U	е	U	D	50.3	abc
		18	TI OZ/A	A									
-	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	A		•		•				40.0	
5	SUA 15,2	1/		٨	11	0	b	0	е	0	D	42.2	a
		1.6	qt/a	A									
-	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	A	10	•		•				F1 0	- 1-
/	SUA 14, 2	2		٨	10	0	b	0	е	0	D	51.8	ap
		3	oz/a	A									
		0.6	0Z/a	A									
A/B = P 11	<u>RE/PUST 1 5/4/10 / 5/2</u>	24/10	(20 DAP)		10	7	2	27	h	0	h	<b>E14</b>	ah
11		15	nt/a	٨	12	/	d	21	b	U	b	0.10	dD
		1.5	pua ozla	A									
		0.0	02/d pt/a	A D									
		1.U 2/14	µua (סמים מכי)	D									-
A/C= FT 2	<u>SOA 15 2/15</u>	2/10	(29 DAF)		5	0	h	27	h	0	h	177	bcd
2		15	nt/a	Δ	5	0	D	21	D	Ū	b	47.7	bcu
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	pua ozla	Δ									
		1.0	oz/a nt/a	с С									
4	SOA 15 2/15	1.0	pua	U	12 -	0	h	15	Ь	0	h	51.8	ah
7		1/	fl oz/a	٨	12 -	0	b	15	u	U	b	51.0	ab
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ									
		10	fl oz/a	C C									
6	SOA 15 2/15	10	11 02/0	0	15	0	h	15	h	0	h	52.9	ah
0	WARRANT	16	at/a	Δ	15	Ū	D	10	u	Ŭ	b	52.7	ab
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	900 07/2	Δ									
	WARRANT	1.6	ot/a	C									
8	SOA 14 2 / 15	1.0	quu	•	12	0	h	32	а	0	h	53.7	а
0	VALOR SX	3	07/2	Δ	12	Ū	D	52	u	Ŭ	b	55.7	u
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ									
		10	nt/a	C									
10	SOA 15 2 / 15	1.0	puu	•	10	0	h	18	C	0	h	50.6	ahc
10		25	07/2	Δ	10	Ŭ	D	10	U	Ŭ	D.	50.0	ubc
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	07/2	Δ									
		18	fl oz/a	C									
A/D = P	RE/POST III 5/4/16 / 6	/17/1	6 (44 DAP)	<u> </u>						1			
12	SOA 15, 2/15		(		12	0	b	0	е	5	а	48.2	abc
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.5	pt/a	А		-	-	_	-	-	-		
	FIRSTRATE	0.6	oz/a	A									
	DUAL II MAGNUM	1.0	pt/a	D									
LSD P=.	10 for weed ratings,				MC	1	า	2	ว			E	0
LSD P=.2	20 for yield				113	1.	2	3.	.2			5	.0